# State of Illinois Pollution Control Board James R. Thompson Center 100 W. Randolph Street, Suite 11-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601

http://www.ipcb.state.il.us/

### BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

In The Matter Of:	
Joseph & Victoria Morrissey )	
Complainant(s),	
v. )	PCB 09 - 10
)	(For Board use only)
Geoff Pahios and Alpine Automotive, Inc	
,	
Respondent(s)	
Notice of F	iling
To: Via Email	
Paul J. Oleksak	
Attorney At Law	
100 Atkinson Road, Suite 110F	
Grayslake, Illinois 60030	
(847) 543-9000 Bruce A. Slivnick	
Attorney At Law	
707 Lake Cook Road, Suite 316	
Deerfield, Illinois 60015	
(847) 714-0503	
(0.1)	
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on January 27, 2010, th of Illinois Pollution Control Board, via online filing, a motions.	
	**
	Respectfully submitted, Joseph Morrissey
	Joseph & Morrissey
	By:
	Joseph Morrissey

State of Illinois
Pollution Control Board
James R. Thompson Center
100 W. Randolph Street, Suite 11-500
Chicago, Illinois 60601

http://www.ipcb.state.il.us/

#### BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

In The Matter Of:	
Joseph & Victoria Morrissey (Insert your name(s) in the space above)	
Complainant(s),	ý
V.	) PCB 20 09-10 - (For Board use only)
Geoff Pahios Alpine Automotive, Inc (Insert name(s) of alleged polluter(s))	)
Respondent(s)	j

## Responses to Respondent's Responses to Motions in Limine

Now come the complainants, Joseph and Victoria Morrissey, to respond to Respondent's responses to motions in Limine and Respondent's responses to Complainants responses to witness list dated Jan 26, 2010.

- In regard to neighbors being able to participate in the hearing. Under Sec 101.110 of 35 Ill. Adm. Code, the board encourages public participation. It should be no unfair surprise to Respondents that affected neighbors would want to have their voices heard on this matter. Complainants respect the Hearing Officer's ability to limit that participation in the event of a neighbor "flood".
- 2. In regard to Complainants calling Greg Zak as a witness. Complainants-have consistently represented that Mr. Zak will be a central part of our complaint and will be called as a witness at the hearing. In a letter dated Aug 11, 2009, Complainants presented Mr. Zak's report and availability for deposition to respondents, clearly identifying our intention to call Mr. Zak as a witness. Respondent's attorney, Mr. Oleksak stated to Complainant's attorney that Respondent had not given approval for travel expense to Springfield, Il to take the deposition and asked for more time. In a letter dated, Sept 8<sup>th</sup>, 2009 Complainants made more dates available for deposition.

# Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office, January 27, 2010

At no time did complainants or complainant's attorney state or imply that Mr. Zak would not be called as a witness.

- 3. In regard to Video tapes being inaccurately dated or lacking foundation; Nothing further.
- 4. In regard to video tapes being a violation to eavesdropping laws. Nothing further.
- 5. In regard to Respondent's witness list; Nothing further.

CERTIFICATION	
optional but encouraged)	١

(optional but encourage	jour
1, JOSEPH MORRISSEY	, on oath or affirmation,
state that I have read the foregoing and that it is accommodate the state of the st	urate to the best of my knowledge.
(Complainant's signature)  Subscribed to and sworn before me	
this 27th day	
of <u>Jan</u> , 20 <u>10</u> .	
Notary Public	
My commission expires:	